

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Virginia

CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT  
AT DANVILLE, VA  
FILED

NOV - 1 2011

JULIA C. DUDLEY, CLERK  
BY:   
DEPUTY CLERKUnited States of America  
v.  
DOUGLAS A. PANNELL, JR.

Case No: 4:09CR00024-1; 4:10CR00008-1

USM No: 02821-084

Date of Previous Judgment: 03/03/2011

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

Defendant's Attorney

## Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of \_\_\_\_\_ months is reduced to \_\_\_\_\_.

## I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: \_\_\_\_\_

Amended Offense Level: \_\_\_\_\_

Criminal History Category: \_\_\_\_\_

Criminal History Category: \_\_\_\_\_

Previous Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ months

Amended Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ months

## II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ Other (explain): \_\_\_\_\_


## III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Defendant's base offense level, total offense level, and guideline imprisonment range are unaffected by Amendment 750. Additionally, Defendant's Motion to Appoint Counsel, which was included in his Motion for Modification of Sentence for Relief Under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) [ECF No. 89], is DENIED.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 03/03/2011 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 11-1-11

  
 Judge's signature

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_

(if different from order date)

Senior United States District Judge

Printed name and title